

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/564,059	Applicant(s) STEINBRENNER ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian J. Davis	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Richard L. Chinn.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
possible 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 7 and 8

Claims discussed:
7 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to alter the claim language of claims 7 and 8 by examiner's amendment in order to avoid a possible 112, second paragraph, rejection.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: __

/Brian J. Davis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621
3/27/08

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)